

**2018**

**Zsafia Pasztor**



**TREE INVENTORY ADDENDUM 2018**

## Tree Inventory Addendum 2018

---



**Zsafia Pasztor**

**Certified Horticulturist CPH 2459**

**Arborist PN-5795A, and Tree Risk Assessor 480**

**Landscape Designer; Certified LID Consultant**

**10 – 108th St. SE**

**Everett, Washington 98208**

**425-210-5541**

**[zs.pasztor2011@gmail.com](mailto:zs.pasztor2011@gmail.com)**

Dear Mr. Wallace,

On June 6<sup>th</sup> 2018, at your request, I performed a tree preservation plan review for the address of 11340-44 23<sup>rd</sup> Ave NE Seattle WA.

This report is a summary of my observations and conclusions.

### **Definition of the assignment**

You contacted me because you are planning to build on the property and you are interested in listing all of the existing trees on the property. The City of Seattle requires an evaluation of the trees and a report completed by a Tree Risk Assessor Arborist.

As you and I discussed, my assignment was to:

- evaluate the health and condition of the trees impacted by the preservation plan change
- determine any necessary steps
- write and submit to you a report

### **Summary of findings**

It was found that although the survey did not show a large Douglas Fir tree on the northern neighbor's lot just east from the tree #43, it is growing within one foot from the property line and it has a canopy that extends about 20 feet into the proposed Parcel C. In order to preserve and protect the neighbor's tree, the proposed house has to move to the East and South into Parcel C. In order to develop the property to the allowed 35% lot coverage, trees #36 and 45 will have to be removed. \$45 was already scheduled to be removed for the original proposed plan, but #36, an exceptional (42") Western Red Cedar tree was not.

After reviewing the proposed and adjusted site plan and in order to protect the neighbor's tree, I see no possibility to preserve the tree #36. Due to the very large tree canopies (old, large trees) of #45, #36 and the neighbor's tree, the area has very little space to work with therefore the only way to achieve a 35% allowed lot coverage (developing to the maximum allowed extent possible) is through removing the two trees, 45 and 36.

### **Methodology**

To evaluate the trees and to prepare the report, I drew upon my 30 years of experience in the field of horticulture, site management, and arboriculture and my formal education in natural resources management, natural habitat ecology, plant identification, and plant physiology. I also followed the protocol of the International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) for Visual Assessment (VA) that includes looking at the overall health of the tree as well as the site conditions. This is a scientifically

## Tree Inventory Addendum 2018

based process to look at the entire site, surrounding landscape and soil, as well as a complete look at the trees themselves with Level 2 tools.

In examining the trees, I looked at such factors as: size, vigor, canopy and foliage condition, density of leaves, injury, insect activity, root damage and root collar health, crown health, evidence of disease-causing bacteria, fungi or virus, dead wood and hanging limbs.

### Field Data

Tree #	Scientific name	Common name	Size dbh "	Height '	Condition	Notes
1	Magnolia liliflora	Saucer Magnolia	7	20	fair	In the way of access road
2	Magnolia liliflora	Saucer Magnolia	6	20	fair	In the way of access road
3	Cercidiphyllum japonicum	Katsura	13.6	25	poor	In the way of access road
4	Cedrus deodara	Deodar Cedrus	44.7	85	good	Exceptional
5	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas Fir	15	75	good	
6	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas Fir	21	85	good	
7	Thuja plicata	Western Red Cedar	28	85	good	
8	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas Fir	28	90	good	
9	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas Fir	40	95	good	Exceptional, will need root protection in road design to preserve; existing driveway is within 5 feet from trunk
10	Thuja plicata	Western Red Cedar	11	35	fair	
11	Calocedrus decurrens	Incense Cedar	14	45	fair	Some dieback, maybe triggered by drought, or shade or both, only on the west side of the canopy.
12	Thuja plicata	Western Red Cedar	24	65	good	
13	Thuja plicata	Western Red Cedar	36	70	good	Exceptional, will need root protection in road design to preserve;

## Tree Inventory Addendum 2018



						existing driveway is within 5 feet from trunk
14	<i>Pinus monticola</i>	Western White Pine	26	75	fair	Some included bark, some dieback; exceptional
15	<i>Chamaecyparis lawsoniana</i>	Lawson Cypress	21	75	good	
16	<i>Thuja plicata</i>	Western Red Cedar	71	105	fair	Exceptional; in the way of the proposed access road
17	<i>Pinus monticola</i>	Western White Pine	31	85	fair	Some included bark, pitching actively at swollen connection; some dieback; exceptional
18	<i>Thuja plicata</i>	Western Red Cedar	33	75	good	Exceptional
19	<i>Cornus kousa</i>	Kousa Dogwood	10	35	poor	Small, stressed, dying canopy, suppressed tree, many injuries
20	<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Douglas Fir	26	80	fair	
21	<i>Thuja plicata</i>	Western Red Cedar	26	75	good	In the way of the proposed access road
22	<i>Cornus kousa</i>	Kousa Dogwood	8	30	poor	Weak, suppressed tree
23	<i>Thuja plicata</i>	Western Red Cedar	19	70	fair	
24	<i>Thuja plicata</i>	Western Red Cedar	28	80	fair	
25	<i>Thuja plicata</i>	Western Red Cedar	11	30	fair	
26	<i>Thuja plicata</i>	Western Red Cedar	26	70	good	
27	<i>Thuja plicata</i>	Western Red Cedar	28	75	good	
28	<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>	English Laurel	10	20	good	
29	<i>Malus sp.</i>	Apple	12	15	poor	

## Tree Inventory Addendum 2018

30	Prunus laurocerasus	English Laurel	17	20	good	
31	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas Fir	28	80	good	Roots may be protected during the construction of the access road, trunk will need a wooden box around it
32	Pinus monticola	Western White Pine	29	fair	good	Large branch fell recently, some dieback in canopy; exceptional
33	Alnus oregona	Red Alder	10.5	60	fair	Ivy and blackberry understory
34	Alnus oregona	Red Alder	14	60	fair	Ivy and blackberry understory
35	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas Fir	21	65	good	Ivy and blackberry understory
36	Thuja plicata	Western Red Cedar	42	80	good	Exceptional
37	Thuja plicata	Western Red Cedar	26	70	good	
38	Thuja plicata	Western Red Cedar	27	75	fair	In the way of the proposed access road
39	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas Fir	24	70	fair	
40	Tsuga heterophylla	Western Hemlock	24	70	poor	Thin canopy, dead wood
41	Thuja plicata	Western Red Cedar	28	75	very poor	Very thin canopy
42	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas Fir	24	70	poor	Thin canopy
43	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas Fir	28	70	poor	Thin canopy
44	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas Fir	28	80	good	
45	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Douglas Fir	30	85	good	Roots may be protected during the construction of the access road, trunk will need a wooden box around it, exceptional
46	Ilex aquifolium	English Holly	10	45	good	
47	Ficus sp.	Fig	14	15	good	

## Tree Inventory Addendum 2018


48	Acer macrophyllum	Big Leaf Maple	8	20	poor	Topped trunk resprouting
49	Aesculus hippocastanum	Horse Chestnut	16	45	good	In the way of the proposed access road




## Tree Inventory Addendum 2018

---

#13 growing near the existing driveway




#9 and 8 growing near the existing driveway; the front of the property



## Tree Inventory Addendum 2018

---



The backyard area 47; 22; 48



#47 has many trunks



#16

## Tree Inventory Addendum 2018

---



The backyard area of 11344 property



The proposed hammer head turn around area

# Tree Inventory Addendum 2018

---

## Waiver of Liability

There are many conditions affecting a tree's health and stability, which may be present and cannot be ascertained, such as, root rot, previous or unexposed construction damage, internal cracks, stem rot and more which may be hidden. Changes in circumstances and conditions can also cause a rapid deterioration of a tree's health and stability. Adverse weather conditions can dramatically affect the health and safety of a tree in a very short amount of time.

While I have used every reasonable means to examine these trees, this evaluation represents my opinion of the tree health at this point in time. These findings do not guarantee future safety nor are they predictions of future events.

The tree evaluation consists of an external visual inspection of an individual tree's root flare, trunk, and canopy from the ground only unless otherwise specified. The inspection may also consist of taking trunk or root soundings for sound comparisons to aid the evaluator in determining the possible extent of decay within a tree. Soundings are only an aid to the evaluation process and do not replace the use of other more sophisticated diagnostic tools for determining the extent of decay within a tree.

As conditions change, it is the responsibility of the property owners to schedule additional site visits by the necessary professionals to ensure that the long-term success of the project is ensured. It is the responsibility of the property owner to obtain all required permits from city, county, state, or federal agencies. It is the responsibility of the property owner to comply with all applicable laws, regulations, and permit conditions. If there is a homeowners association, it is the responsibility of the property owner to comply with all Codes, Covenants, and Restrictions (CC&R's) that apply to tree pruning and tree removal.

This tree evaluation is to be used to inform and guide the client in the management of their trees. This in no way implies that the evaluator is responsible for performing recommended actions or using other methods or tools to further determine the extent of internal tree problems without written authorization from the client. Furthermore, the evaluator in no way holds that the opinions and recommendations are the only actions required to insure that the tree will not fail. A second maybe sought if client feels it's necessary. The client shall hold the evaluator harmless for any and all injuries or damages incurred if the tree examined fails for any reason or if the evaluator's recommendations are not followed or for acts of nature beyond the evaluator's reasonable expectations, such as severe winds, excessive rains, heavy snow loads, etc.

Should you have any questions or concerns, or if I may be of further assistance, please call.

Sincerely,



Zsafia Pasztor;  
Certified Horticulturist Cert. # 2459  
Certified Arborist Cert. # PN5795A;  
Certified Tree Risk Assessor Cert. # 480  
Certified LID Consultant and Designer  
Landscape Designer and Construction Consultant

## ATTACHMENT 1 – GLOSSARY

### Terms Used in This Report, on the Tree Condition and Their Significance

In an effort to clearly present the information for each tree in a manner that facilitates the reader's ability to understand the conclusions I have drawn for each tree, I have collected the information in a report format. This report was developed by Zsafia Pasztor and it is based upon the *Tree Risk Assessment in Urban Areas and the Urban/Rural Interface* course manual and the *Tree Risk Assessment Form*, both sponsored by the International Society of Arboriculture, and the *Hazard Tree Evaluation Form* from the book, *The Evaluation of Hazard Trees in Urban Areas*, by Matheny and Clarke. The descriptions were left brief in the report in an effort to include as much pertinent information as possible, to make the report manageable, and to avoid boring the reader with infinite levels of detail. However, a review of these terms and descriptions will allow the reader to rapidly move through the report and understand the information.

- 1) **TREE LOCATION**--indicates what general area of the site the tree is on, or whether the tree is Off the Project property.
- 2) **TREE #**—the individual number of each tree.
- 3) **SPECIES**—this describes the species of each tree with both most readily accepted common name and the officially accepted scientific name.
- 4) **DBH**—Diameter-at-Breast-Height. This is the standard measurement of trees taken at 4.5 feet above the average ground level of the tree base.
  - i) Occasionally it is not practical to measure a tree at 4.5 feet above the ground. The most representative area of the trunk near 4.5 feet is then measured and noted on the spreadsheet. For instance, a tree that forks at 4.5 feet can have an unusually large swelling at that point. The measurement is taken below the swelling and noted as, '28.4" at 36"'.
  - ii) Trees with multiple stems are listed as a "clump of x," with x being the number of trunks in the clump. Measurements may be given as an average of all the trunks, or individual measurements for each trunk may be listed.
  - iii) Every effort is made to distinguish between a single tree with multiple stems and several trees growing close together at the bases.
- 5) **DRIP LINE**—the radius, the distance from the trunk to the furthest branch tips (sometimes the average of these measurements around the tree).
- 6) **% LCR**—Percentage of Live Crown Ratio: the relative proportion of green crown to overall tree height. This is an important indication of a tree's health. If a tree has a high percentage of Live Crown Ratio, it is likely producing enough photosynthetic activity to support the tree. If a tree has less than 30 to 40% LCR it can create a shortage of needed energy and can indicate poor health and vigor.

## Tree Inventory Addendum 2018

---

7) **SYMMETRY**—is the description of the form of the canopy. That is, the balance or overall shape of the canopy and crown. This is the place I list any major defects in the tree shape—does the tree have all its foliage on one side or in one unusual area. Symmetry can be important if there are additional defects in the tree such as rot pockets, cracks, loose roots, weak crown etc. Symmetry is generally categorized as Generally Symmetrical, Minor Asymmetry or Major Asymmetry:

- i) Gen. Sym.—Generally Symmetrical. The canopy/foliage is generally even on all sides with spacing of scaffold branches typical for the species, both vertically and radially.
- ii) Min. Asym.—Minor Asymmetry. The canopy/foliage has a slightly irregular shape with more weight on one side but appears to be no problem for the tree.
- iii) Maj. Asym.—Major Asymmetry. The canopy/foliage has a highly irregular shape for the species with the majority of the weight on one side of the tree. This can have a significant impact on the tree's stability, health and hazard potential—especially if other defects are noted such as cracks, rot, root defects.

8) **FOLIAGE/BRANCH**—describes the foliage of the tree in relation to a perfect specimen of that particular species. First the branch growth and foliage density is described, and then any signs or symptoms of stress and/or disease are noted. The condition of the foliage, or the branches and buds for deciduous trees in the dormant season, are important indications of a tree's health and vigor.

i) For Deciduous trees in the dormant season:

- The structure of the tree is visible,
- The quantity and quality of buds indicates health, and is described as
- good bud set, average bud set, or poor bud set. These are abbreviated
- in the spreadsheet as: gbs, abs, or pbs.
- The amount of annual shoot elongation is visible and is another major
- indication of tree health and vigor. This is described as:
  - a) Excellent, Good, Average, or Short Shoot Elongation. These are abbreviated in the spreadsheet as ESE, GSE, ASE, OR SSE.

ii) For evergreen trees year round and deciduous trees in leaf, the color and density of the foliage indicates if the tree is healthy or stressed, or if an insect infestation, a bacterial, fungal, or viral infection is present. Foliage is categorized on a scale from:

- Dense—extremely thick foliage, an indication of healthy vigorous
- growth,
- Good—thick foliage, thicker than average for the species,
- Normal/Average—thick foliage, average for the species, an indication
- of healthy growth,
- Thin or Thinning—needles and leaves becoming less dense so that
- sunlight readily passes through; an indication that the tree is under
- serious stress that could impact the long-term survivability and safety
- of the tree,
- Sparse—few leaves or needles on the twigs, an indication that the tree
- is under extreme stress and could indicate the future death of the tree
- Necrosis—the presence of dead twigs and branchlets. This is another
- significant indication of tree health. A few dead twigs and branches
- are reasonably typical in most trees of size. However, if there are dead

## Tree Inventory Addendum 2018

---

- twigs and branchlets all over a certain portion of the tree, or all over
- the tree, these are indications of stress or attack that can have an
- impact on the tree's long-term health.
- Hangers—a term to describe a large branch or limb that has broken off
- but is still hanging up in the tree. These can be particularly dangerous
- in adverse weather conditions.

9) **CROWN CONDITION**—the crown is uppermost portion of the tree, generally considered the top 10 to 20% of the canopy or that part of the canopy above the main trunk in deciduous trees and above the secondary bark in evergreen trees.

i) The condition of the tree's crown is a reflection of the overall health and vigor of the entire tree. The crown is one of the first places a tree will demonstrate stress and pathogenic attack such as root rot.

ii) If the **Crown Condition** is healthy and strong, this is a good sign. If the crown condition is weak, broken out, or shows other signs of decline, it is an indication that the tree is under stress. It is such an important indication of health and vigor that this is the first place a trained forester or arborist looks to begin the evaluation of a tree. Current research reveals that, by the time trees with root rot show significant signs of decline in the crown, fully 50% or more of the roots have already rotted away. **Crown Condition** can be described as:

- Healthy Crown—exceptional growth for the species.
- Average Crown—typical for the species.
- Weak Crown—thin spindly growth with thin or sparse needles.
- Flagging Crown—describes a tree crown that is weak and unable to
- grow straight up.
- Dying Crown—describes obvious decline that is nearing death.
- Dead Crown—the crown has died due to pathological or physical
- injury. The tree is considered to have significant stress and/or
- weakness if the crown is dead.
- Broken out—a formerly weak crown condition that has been broken
- off by adverse weather conditions or other mechanical means.
- Regenerated or Regenerating—formerly broken out crowns that are
- now growing back, Regenerating crowns may appear healthy, average,
- or weak and indicate current health of the tree.
- Suppressed—a term used to describe poor condition of an entire tree
- or just the crown. Suppressed crowns are those that are entirely below
- the general level of the canopy of surrounding trees which receive no
- direct sunlight. They are generally in poor health and vigor.
- Suppressed trees are generally trees that are smaller and growing in the
- shade of larger trees around them. They generally have thin or sparse
- needles, weak or missing crowns, and are prone to insect attack as well
- as bacterial and fungal infections.

10) **TRUNK**—this is the area to note any defects that can have an impact on the tree's stability or hazard potential. Typical things noted are:

## Tree Inventory Addendum 2018

---

- i) **FORKED**—bifurcation of branches or trunks that often occur at a narrow angle.
- ii) **INCLUDED BARK**—a pattern of development at branch or trunk junctions where bark is turned inward rather than pushed out. This can be a serious structural defect in a tree that can and often does lead to failure of one or more of the branches or trunks especially during severe adverse weather conditions.
- iii) **EPICORMIC GROWTH**—this is generally seen as dense thick growth near the trunk of a tree. Although this looks like a healthy condition, it is in fact the opposite. Trees with Epicormic Growth have used their reserve stores of energy in a last ditch effort to produce enough additional photosynthetic surface area to produce more sugars, starches and carbohydrates to support the continued growth of the tree. Generally speaking, when conifers in the Pacific Northwest exhibit heavy amounts of Epicormic Growth, they are not producing enough food to support their current mass and are already in serious decline.
- iv) **INTERNAL STRUCTURAL WEAKNESS**—a physical characteristic of the tree trunk, such as a **kink, crack, rot pocket, or rot column** that predisposes the tree trunk to failure at the point of greatest weakness.
- v) **BOWED**—a gradual curve of the trunk. This can indicate an Internal Structural Weakness or an overall weak tree. It can also indicate slow movement of soils or historic damage of the tree that has been corrected by the curved growth.
- vi) **KINKED**—a sharp angle in the tree trunk that indicates that the normal growth pattern is disrupted. Generally this means that the internal fibers and annual rings are weaker than straight trunks and prone to failure, especially in adverse weather conditions.
- vii) **GROUND FLOWER**—an area of deformed bark near the base of a tree trunk that indicates long-term root rot.

11) **ROOT COLLAR**—this is the area where the trunk enters the soil and the buttress roots flare out away from the trunk into the soil. It is here that signs of rot, decay, insect infestation, or fungal or bacterial infection are noted. **NAD** stands for **No Apparent Defects**.

12) **ROOTS**—any abnormalities such as girdling roots, roots that wrap around the tree itself that strangle the cambium layer and kill the tree, are noted here.

13) **COMMENTS**—this is the area to note any additional information that would not fit in the previous boxes or attributes about the tree that have bearing on the health and structure of the tree.

14) **CURRENT HEALTH RATING**—A description of the tree's general health ranging from dead, dying, poor, senescent, suppressed, fair, good, very good, to excellent.

15) **PNW-ISA TREE RISK ASSESSMENT RATINGS FOR HAZARD POTENTIAL**-- The Pacific Northwest Chapter of the International Society of Arboriculture now certifies arborists as *Certified Tree Risk Assessors* using an adjusted scale Low to Extreme. They are:

- i) **TARGET RATING**--A scale of zero to three points depending upon the amount of use within the range of the tree and the amount of injury or damage that might occur if the tree or component part does fail. Target is both the level of use and the quality/value of the target combined with the foreseeable amount of injury or damage that will likely occur should the tree or component part fail.

# Tree Inventory Addendum 2018

- 0 Points, no target. **No Hazard.**
- 1 Point, Low human use is rare and random for short periods of time and/or low target value. (country roads, long-term or overflow parking, remote parks, wilderness trails)
- 2 Points, Moderate human use less than 50% time, occasional (any given time) and/or moderate target value. (picnic areas, camping areas, minor rural roads, moderate use trails)
- 3 Points, Moderately high human use more than 50% of the time, frequent or high value target and/or moderate target value. (bus stops, roads, parking areas, most rarely used vacation homes, playgrounds, etc.)
- 4 Points, High or constant human use and/or high target value. (Schools, hospitals, residential and family homes, utilities, visitor centers, emergency access roads and stations)

ii) **SIZE OF PART--** The larger the tree or component part that fails, the greater the potential for injury or damage.

iii) **PROBABILITY OF FAILURE--** This component ranks the likelihood that the observed defect(s) will fail in a reasonable amount of time in the foreseeable future. The probability of failure automatically has associated with it threshold of action recommended to reduce or minimize the potential failure and associated injuries or damages that might occur.

## iiii) CONSEQUENCES

16) **ISA HAZARD or RISK RATING--** The combined component ratings used within a specific Matrix.

**ISA Basic Tree Risk Assessment Form**

Client: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Tree no.: \_\_\_\_\_ Tree size: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_ City: \_\_\_\_\_ State: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Tree species: \_\_\_\_\_ dbh: \_\_\_\_\_ Height: \_\_\_\_\_ Crown spread: \_\_\_\_\_

Assessor: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Target Assessment**

Target	Target description	Target value	Target rating	Target score
1				
2				
3				
4				

**Site Factors**

History of failure: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Site changes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Soil conditions: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Prevailing wind direction: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Vague: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Specific future profile: \_\_\_\_\_

**Tree Defects and Conditions Affecting the Likelihood of Failure**

**Trunk**

Unsound defect: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Decay: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Bark: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Pruning history: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Root collar: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Trunk: \_\_\_\_\_

**Branches**

Dead: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Live: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Pruning: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Root collar: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Trunk: \_\_\_\_\_

**Roots and Root Collar**

Unsound defect: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Decay: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Bark: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Pruning history: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Root collar: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Trunk: \_\_\_\_\_

**Risk Categorization**

Likelihood of failure	Consequences of failure	Risk Rating			
		Very Low	Low	High	Very High
Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Low	High	Very High
Low	Low	Low	High	Very High	Very High
High	High	High	Very High	Very High	Very High
Very High	Very High	Very High	Very High	Very High	Very High

**Notes, explanations, descriptions**

Mitigation options: \_\_\_\_\_

Overall tree risk rating: \_\_\_\_\_

Recommended inspection interval: \_\_\_\_\_

17) **RECOMMENDATION**— this is an estimate of whether or not the tree is of sufficient health, vigor, and structure that it is worth retaining. Specific recommendations for each tree are included in this column. They may include anything from pruning dead wood, mulching, aerating, injecting

## Tree Inventory Addendum 2018

---

tree-based fertilizer into the root system, shortening into a habitat tree or wildlife snag, or to completely removing the tree.

i) **Monitor:** “Monitor” is a specific recommendation that the tree be reevaluated on a routine basis to determine if there are any significant changes in health or structural stability. “Monitor annually” (or bi-annually, triannually, etc.)” means the tree should be looked at once every year (or every 2 or 3 years, etc.) This yearly monitoring can be a quick look at the trees to see if there are any significant changes. Significant changes such as storm damage, loss of crown, partial failure of one or more roots, etc. require that a full evaluation be done of the tree at that time.

**NOTE: TREES WITH THE SAME DESCRIPTION AND DIFFERENT RATINGS:**

Two trees may have the same descriptions in the matrix boxes, one may be marked “Hazard,” while another may be marked “Non-Hazard.” The difference is in the degree of the description--early “necrosis” versus advanced “necrosis” for instance. Another example is center rot or base rot. In a Western Red Cedar or Oak tree the presence of low or even moderate rot is not significant and does not diminish the strength of the tree. However, low levels of rot in the base of a Douglas Fir or Big Leaf Maple tree in an area known to have virulent pathogens present is highly significant and predisposes that tree to windthrow. Again, these descriptions were left brief in an effort to include as much pertinent information as possible, to make the report manageable, and, not to bore the reader with infinite levels of detail.

# Tree Inventory Addendum 2018

---

## ATTACHMENT 2– REFERENCES

1. Dunster, Dr. Julian A., R.P.F., M.C.I.P. *Interpreting Resistograph Readings, A Manual for Users of the Resistograph Decay Detection Instrument*. Bowen Island, Canada: Dunster & Associates, 2000.
2. Eric Allen, et al. *Common Tree Diseases of British Columbia*. Victoria: Canadian Forest Service, 1996.
3. Harris, Richard W. et al. *Arboriculture, Integrated Management of Landscape Trees, Shrubs, and Vines*. 4th ed. Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall, 2004.
4. Matheny, Nelda P. and Clark, James R. *Evaluation of Hazard Trees*. 2nd ed. Savoy: The International Society of Arboriculture Press, 1994
5. Mattheck, Claus and Breloer, Helge. *The Body Language of Trees, A Handbook for Failure Analysis*. London: HMSO, 1994.
6. Pacific Northwest Chapter-ISA. *Tree Risk Assessment in Urban Areas and the Urban/Rural Interface*. Course Manual. Release 1.5. PNW-ISA: Silverton, Oregon, 2012.
7. Robert Van Pelt *Champion Trees of Washington State* University of Washington 1996
8. City of Seattle *Director's Rule 16-2008*
9. Arthur Lee Jacobson *Trees of Seattle* Second Edition Seattle, Washington 2006
10. Edward F. Gilman *An Illustrated Guide to Pruning* Third Edition Delmar 2012
11. May Teilgaard Watts; Tom Watts *Winter Tree Finder* Nature Study Guild Publ. NY 1970
12. Bob Doppelt, Mary Scurlock, Chris Frissell, James Karr *Entering The Watershed* Pacific River Council Washington DC, 1993
13. Rodney W. Tyler *Winning The Organics Game* ASHS Press VA 1996
14. US Dept. of Transportation Federal Highway Administration *Roadside Revegetation: An Integrated Approach to Establishing Native Plants* 2007
15. Matheny and Clark in *Trees and Development: A Technical Guide to Preservation of Trees during Land Development* (Harris 1992, Helliwell 1985)
16. *Guide to Plant Appraisal, 9th Edition*, written by the Council of Tree and Landscape Appraisers.